

Figure 3. Linear display of the skeletal deviations from the plane defined by the four nitrogens of Zn tetraphenyloctaethylporphyrin, in Å. Substituents are not shown. Esd's for the deviations from planarity  $\leq 0.02$ Å.

aggregation. The deviations of the pyrrole rings from the plane defined by the four nitrogens range between  $\pm 0.5$  Å and are illustrated in Figure 2.

Preliminary single-crystal X-ray data show zinc tetraphenyloctaethylporphyrin<sup>10</sup> (ZnTPOEP, (5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-2,3,7,8,12,13,17,18-octaethylporphinato)zinc(II)) to be severely saddle shaped (Figure 3) with the  $\beta$  protons of adjacent pyrrole rings displaced by  $\sim \pm 1$  Å relative to the plane of the four nitrogens. NMR data<sup>11</sup> establish that the puckered conformation is retained in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution. Remarkably, the first absorption band of the compound is shifted to 637 nm compared with  $\lambda_{max}$ of 586 nm for Zn tetraphenyl porphyrin (ZnTPP) or 569 nm for Zn octaethylporphyrin (ZnOEP). Also noteworthy, the oxidation halfwave potential of ZnTPOEP in  $CH_2Cl_2$  has decreased to +0.47 V (versus SCE) compared to those of ZnTPP and ZnOEP,  $E_{1/2}$ = 0.75 and 0.63 V, respectively, while the reduction potentials in tetrahydrofuran are as follows:  $E_{1/2} = -1.54, -1.35, \text{ and } -1.63$ V, for ZnTPOEP, ZnTPP, and ZnOEP, respectively.

Quantum mechanical ZINDO calculations<sup>12,13</sup> predict the experimental trends with calculated red shifts of 1900 cm<sup>-1</sup> for a conformational change from a planar Zn porphyrin to one with the saddle structure of ZnTPOEP to be compared with observed shifts of 1370 and 1880 cm<sup>-1</sup> relative to  $ZnTPP^{14}$  and ZnOEP,<sup>14</sup> respectively. The calculations also predict that the puckered porphyrin will be easier to oxidize by 0.12 eV, whereas reduction is insensitive to the distortion. Similar calculations for puckered chlorins and bacteriochlorins predict red shifts of 1200 and 820 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Extension of the calculations to the different conformations observed<sup>8</sup> for the BChls b that comprise the special pair of Rps. viridis also predicts different optical and redox properties. For the BChl b associated with the L protein subunit,  $\lambda_{max} = 807$  nm with calculated energies of -2.030 and -5.850 eV for the LUMO and HOMO, respectively, and for the BChl associated with the M subunit,  $\lambda_{max} = 762$  nm with energies of -1.920 and -5.880 eV for the LUMO and HOMO.15

The above combination of experimental and theoretical results clearly suggests that the conformational variations observed in vitro and in vivo can provide a mechanism for altering optical and redox properties. Such effects, in conjunction with additional modulations induced by neighboring protein residues,<sup>7,16</sup> may thus combine to cause the observed asymmetry in the triplet<sup>17</sup> and

(13) The calculations include the lowest 224 singly excited configurations

(13) The self-consistent field ground state.
(14) Fajer, J.; Borg, D. C.; Forman, A.; Felton, R. H.; Vegh, L.; Dolphin, D. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1973, 206, 349.
(15) The calculations include the axial imidazole and the 9-keto and 2-

acetyl groups. The ethylidene of ring II was replaced by a methylene group and all other peripheral substituents by hydrogens. (16) Michel-Beyerle, M. E.; Plato, M.; Deisenhofer, J.; Michel, H.; Bixon,

M.; Jortner, J. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1988, 932, 52 and references therein.

oxidized donor<sup>18</sup> of Rps. viridis and the vectorial electron flow<sup>16</sup> that occurs in the reaction center. The same considerations also offer an attractively simple rationale for the optical features of the BChl *a* antenna of *Prosthecochloris aestuarii* ( $\lambda_{max} = 793-825$ nm).<sup>19,20</sup>

Acknowledgment. We thank J. Deisenhofer and H. Michel for the Rps. viridis special pair coordinates at 2.3 Å resolution, D. A. Goff for isolating the methylbacteriopheophorbides, L. K. Hanson for discussions, M. A. Renner for the redox data, and M. C. Zerner for the ZINDO program. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Chemical Sciences, under contract DE-AC02-76CH00016 at BNL and the National Science Foundation, Grant CHE-86-19034, at UCD.

(17) Norris, J. R.; Budil, D. E.; Crespi, H. L.; Bowman, M. K.; Gast, P.;
Lin, C. P.; Chang, C. H.; Schiffer, M. in ref 5c, p 147.
(18) Lendzian, F.; Lubitz, W.; Scheer, H.; Hoff, A. J.; Plato, M.; Tränkle,

E.; Möbius, K. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1988, 148, 377.

(19) Pearlstein, R. M. In Photosynthesis: Energy Conversion by Plants and Bacteria; Govindjee, Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1982; Vol. I, p 293

(20) Tronrud et al.<sup>6</sup> note that the seven BChls that comprise the BChl aantenna protein of P. aestuarii fall into two distinct conformational classes. Calculations for the seven individual BChls, based on these crystallographic data, indeed yield low-energy absorption maxima that parallel the conformational variations (Gudowska-Nowak, E.; Fajer, J., unpublished results). Obviously, these calculations, by themselves, do not account entirely for the experimental spectrum of P. aestuarii because excitonic interactions between close neighbors in the protein are not included.

## A Carotenoid-Diporphyrin-Quinone Model for Photosynthetic Multistep Electron and Energy Transfer

Devens Gust,\* Thomas A. Moore,\* Ana L. Moore, Lewis R. Makings, Gilbert R. Seely, Xiaochun Ma, Todd T. Trier, and Feng Gao

> Department of Chemistry, Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona 85287-1604

> > Received May 11, 1988

Photosynthetic reaction centers use light to generate energetic, long-lived charge-separated states by employing a series of short-range electron-transfer steps to achieve overall long-range charge separation across a lipid bilayer membrane. We have previously shown that covalently linked carotenoid-porphyrinquinone (C-P-Q) triads<sup>1-10</sup> and a carotenoid-porphyrin-diquinone

(1) Gust, D.; Mathis, P.; Moore, A. L.; Liddell, P. A.; Nemeth, G. A.; Lehman, W. R.; Moore, T. A.; Bensasson, R. V.; Land, E. J.; Chachaty, C. Photochem. Photobiol. 1983, 37S, S46.

(2) Moore, T. A.; Mathis, P.; Gust, D.; Moore, A. L.; Liddell, P. A.; Nemeth, G. A.; Lehman, W. R.; Bensasson, R. V.; Land, E. J.; Chachaty, C. In Advances in Photosynthesis Research; Sybesma, E., Ed.; Nijhoff/Junk:

The Hague, 1984; pp 729-732. (3) Moore, T. A.; Gust, D.; Mathis, P.; Mialocq, J. S.; Chachaty, C.; Bensasson, R. V.; Land, E. J.; Doizi, D.; Liddell, P. A.; Nemeth, G. A.; Moore, A. L. Nature (London) 1984, 307, 630-632.
(4) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A. J. Photochem. 1985, 29, 173-184.

5) Seta, P.; Bienvenue, E.; Moore, A. L.; Mathis, P.; Bensasson, R. V.; Liddell, P. A.; Pessiki, P. J.; Joy, A.; Moore, T. A.; Gust, D. Nature (London) 1985. 316. 653-655.

(6) Liddell, P. A.; Barrett, D.; Makings, L. R.; Pessiki, P. J.; Gust, D.; Moore, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 5350-5352.

(7) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Makings, L. R.; Liddell, P. A.; Nemeth, G. A.; Moore, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8028-8031.
(8) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Liddell, P. A.; Nemeth, G. A.; Makings, L. R.; Moore, A. L.; Barrett, D.; Pessiki, P. J.; Bensasson, R. V.; Rougee, M.; Chachaty, C.; De Schryver, F. C.; Van der Auweraer, M.; Holzwarth, A. R.; Carrett, D. P. Schryver, Soc. 1087, 1007, 200 Sec. 595. Connolly , J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 846-856.

(9) Moore, T. A.; Gust, D.; Moore, A. L.; Bensasson, R. V.; Seta, P.; Bienvenue, E. In Supramolecular Photochemistry; Balzani, V., Ed.; D. Reidel: Boston, 1987; pp 283-297.

0002-7863/88/1510-7567\$01.50/0 © 1988 American Chemical Society

<sup>(10)</sup> Evans, B.; Smith, K. M.; Fuhrhop, J. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 5,
443. Details of the crystal structure will be presented elsewhere.
(11) Renner, M. W.; Fajer, J., unpublished data.

 <sup>(12)</sup> Ridley, J.; Zerner, M. Theor. Chim. Acta (Berlin) 1973, 32, 111;
 1976, 42, 223. Zerner, M.; Loew, G.; Kirchner, R.; Mueller-Westerhoff, U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 589. Thompson, M. A.; Zerner, M. C. Ibid. 1988, 110, 606.

tetrad molecule<sup>11</sup> mimic this multistep electron-transfer strategy to yield long-lived charge-separated states upon excitation. However, electron, singlet energy, and triplet energy transfer between tetrapyrroles are also key facets of natural photosynthetic charge separation. The triads and tetrads mentioned above do not model such processes, although a number of dyad and triad molecules containing covalently linked tetrapyrroles, some of which demonstrate electron or energy transfer phenomena, have been reported.<sup>12-23</sup> We have now prepared a new four-component molecular device, 1, which consists of two covalently linked porphyrins  $(P_A - P_B)$ , one bearing a carotenoid polyene (C) and the other a naphthoquinone electron acceptor (Q). As discussed below, transient spectroscopic measurements lead to the conclusion that excitation of 1 ultimately yields a  $C^{+}-P_A-P_B-Q^{-}$  chargeseparated state with a quantum yield of ca. 0.25 and a lifetime of 2.9  $\mu$ s. The tetrad also demonstrates singlet and triplet energy transfer behavior, which mimics photosynthetic antenna function by chlorophylls and carotenoids, and carotenoid photoprotection from singlet oxygen damage.

Tetrad 1 and model compounds 2-5 were synthesized by using methods previously developed for C-P-Q triads.<sup>1-10,24</sup> The 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 1-5 in deuteriochloroform are consistent



with the proposed structures. The lack of significant porphyrin ring current induced upfield shifts<sup>25</sup> of resonances implies an

(10) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A. in *Supramolecular Photochemistry*; Balzani,
V., Ed.; D. Reidel: Boston, 1987; pp 267-282.
(11) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Moore, A. L.; Barrett, D.; Harding, L. O.;
Makings, L. R.; Liddell, P. A.; De Schryver, F. C.; Van der Auweraer, M.;
Bensasson, R. V.; Rougëe, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 321-323.

- (12) For reviews, see ref 13-17. For recent examples, see ref 18-23.
- (13) Harriman, A. In Supramolecular Photochemistry; Balzani, V., Ed.; (14) Boxer, S. G. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1983, 726, 265.

(15) Harriman, A. In Energy Resources Through Photochemistry and Catalysis; Gratzel, M., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1983; Chapter 6. (16) Dolphin, D.; Hiom, J.; Paine, J. B., III Heterocycles 1981, 16,

- 417-447
- (17) Sakata, Y. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokai Shi 1981, 39, 909–917.
   (18) Sakata, Y.; Nishitani, A.; Nishimizu, N.; Misumi, S.; McIntosh, A.
- R.; Bolton, J. R.; Kanda, Y.; Karen, A.; Okada, T.; Mataga, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 5207-5210.
- (19) Dubowchik, G. M.; Hamilton, A. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1391–1394, and references cited therein.
- (20) Cowan, J. A.; Sanders, J. K. M.; Beddard, G. S.; Harrison, R. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 55-58.
- (21) Cowan, J. A.; Sanders, J. K. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1214-1215. (22) Sessler, J. L.; Hugdahl, J.; Johnson, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51,
- 2828-2840. (23) Sessler, J. L.; Johnson, M. R.; Lin, T.-Y.; Creager, S. E. J. Am.
- Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3659-3661. (24) The preparation and characterization of these materials will be de-
- scribed elsewhere. (25) Chachaty, C.; Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Nemeth, G. A.; Liddell, P. A.;
- Moore, A. L. Org. Magn. Reson. 1984, 22, 39-46.



Figure 1. Transient absorption at 980 nm following excitation of a 7  $\times$ 10<sup>-6</sup> M solution of tetrad 1 in anisole at 295 K with an ca. 15-ns, 3-mJ pulse of 590 nm light. The decay can be fit as a single exponential: a three-parameter iterative fitting procedure yields a lifetime of 2.9  $\mu$ s.

Scheme I



extended conformation for tetrad 1 and the model systems, rather than some folded arrangement. This is to be expected because of the short covalent linkages between the chromophores and the rigidity imparted by the amide partial double bonds. Model compounds for the two porphyrin moieties of 1 have virtually identical absorption spectra, and the UV-vis absorption bands of 1-5 in anisole solution are only slightly changed in wavelength and relative extinction coefficient from the sum of the absorption spectra of the individual chromophores.



Excitation of an anisole solution of 1 with an ca. 15 ns pulse of 590 nm light produced a transient carotenoid radical cation absorption ( $\lambda_{max} = 980$  nm) which decayed exponentially with a lifetime of 2.9  $\mu$ s (Figure 1).<sup>26</sup> This transient was assigned to  $C^{+}-P_A-P_B-Q^{-}$  whose formation is postulated to occur according to Scheme I. Excitation at 590 nm generates the porphyrin excited singlet states  $C^{-1}P_A - P_B - Q$  and  $C^{-1}P_A - {}^{1}P_B - Q$  in essentially equal amounts. Kinetic analysis of time resolved porphyrin

<sup>(10)</sup> Gust, D.; Moore, T. A. In Supramolecular Photochemistry; Balzani,

<sup>(26)</sup> In certain solvents such as dichloromethane and benzonitrile, the initial formation of C<sup>++</sup> following laser flash excitation is followed by a slow  $(\mu s)$  increase in absorption at 980 nm. The resulting species has a very long lifetime (ca. 400  $\mu$ s) under some conditions. The amount and lifetime of this new transient are concentration dependent, and it presumably results from intermolecular reactions. In anisole at ambient temperatures the lifetime of  $C^{+}-P_{A}-P_{B}-Q^{-}$  is short enough to preclude such effects.

fluorescence measurements<sup>27</sup> on 1-5 reveals that singlet energy transfer between porphyrins is faster than or roughly comparable to the rates of the other processes which depopulate the excited singlet states. The  $C^{-1}P_A^{-}P_B^{-}Q$  singlet state also decays by other photophysical processes (step 2 in Scheme I) with  $k_2 = 2.5 \times 10^8$  $s^{-1}$  (based on the 4.0 ns fluorescence lifetime for 5).  $C\text{-}P_{A}\text{-}^{1}P_{B}\text{-}Q$ decays by photophysical processes ( $k_4 = 1.0 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$  based on a fluorescence lifetime of 9.9 ns for 2) and by electron transfer (step 7) to yield  $C-P_A-P_B^{*+}-Q^{*-}$ . Photoinitiated electron transfer between the porphyrins is thermodynamically unfavorable in this molecule. In the limit of rapid singlet energy transfer, the porphyrin fluorescence decay of 1 would be a single exponential with a lifetime  $\tau$ , where  $1/\tau = (k_2 + k_4 + k_7)/2$ . On this basis, an electron transfer rate constant of  $k_7 = 2.4 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$  was calculated from the measured fluorescence lifetime of 3.4 ns and the values of  $k_2$  and  $k_4$  mentioned above. Given the experimental errors involved ( $\pm 25\%$  for rate constants) and the use of model compounds to estimate rate constants for  $k_2$  and  $k_4$ , this rate constant is in good agreement with the photoinitiated electron transfer rate constant of  $2.4 \times 10^8$  calculated for 4 in the manner described above from its fluorescence lifetime of 4.5 ns.

Competing with charge recombination in  $C-P_A-P_B^{*+}-Q^{*-}$  is electron transfer which ultimately yields  $C^{*+}-P_A-P_B-Q^{*-}$  with an overall quantum yield of ca. 0.25 based upon total light absorbed. In Scheme I, this is shown as a two-step process (steps 8 and 10) involving a  $C-P_A^{*+}-P_B-Q^{*-}$  intermediate. Cyclic voltammetric measurements on model compounds, which ignore coulombic stabilization, suggest that  $C-P_A^{*+}-P_B-Q^{*-}$  would be more stable than  $C-P_A-P_B^{*+}-Q^{*-}$  by roughly 0.1 eV, and this would be consistent with a discrete intermediate. On the other hand, coulombic stabilization of  $C-P_A-P_B^{*+}-Q^{*-}$  might lower the energy of this state below that of  $C-P_A^{*+}-P_B-Q^{*-}$ . In this case, conversion of  $C-P_A-P_B^{*+}-Q^{*-}$  to  $C^{*+}-P_A-P_B-Q^{*-}$  could involve a single process aided by superexchange interactions of  $P_A$ . The long<sup>26</sup> lifetime of the final state is the result of the large spatial separation of the cation and anion and the fact that endergonic electron transfers<sup>7</sup> would be needed to place the charges on adjacent chromophores.

Excitation of 1–5 yields porphyrin triplet states, and in 1 and 3 *both* of these triplets are rapidly ( $\leq 20$  ns) quenched by the carotenoid via triplet energy transfer;  $P_A$  is evidently serving as a triplet energy relay. In addition, the carotenoid moiety of 5 and therefore presumably that of 1 and 3 transfers singlet energy to the attached porphyrin with ca. 10% overall efficiency. Thus, tetrad 1 mimics not only multistep photoinitiated electron transfer (which is characteristic of natural photosynthesis) but also chlorophyll antenna function (singlet energy transfer between chlorophylls), carotenoid antenna function (singlet energy transfer from carotenoids to chlorophylls), and carotenoid photoprotection from singlet oxygen damage (carotenoid quenching of chlorophyll triplet states).

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-8515475, INT-8514252, INT-8701663; D.G. and T.A.M.) and by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy (DE-FG02-86ER13620; G.R.S.). Some of the fluorescence decay measurements were performed at the Center for Fast Kinetics Research at the University of Texas at Austin. The CFKR is supported jointly by the Biotechnology Research Technology Program of the Division of Research Resources of NIH (RR00886) and by the University of Texas at Austin. This is publication no. 1 from the Arizona State University Center for the Study of Early Events in Photosynthesis. The Center is funded by U.S. Department of Energy Grant no. DE-FG02-88ER13969 as part of the USDA/DOE/NSF Plant Science Center program.

## 2,5,7,7-Tetraphenyl-7-boratabicyclo[4.1.0]hepta-2,4diene: The First Isolation and Characterization of a Boratanorcaradiene

John D. Wilkey and Gary B. Schuster\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois Roger Adams Laboratory Urbana, Illinois 61801-3731 Received July 15, 1988

The perturbation of electronic and structural properties caused by replacement of a carbon by a boron atom is a topic of expanding theoretical<sup>1</sup> and experimental<sup>2</sup> interest. In most of the previously examined cases, electrically neutral trivalent boron atoms are used to simulate isoelectronic and nearly isosteric carbocations. Likewise, tetravalent anionic boron groups (borates) can be thought of as negatively charged analogues of neutral carbon atoms. We report herein the first isolation and characterization of a boratanorcaradiene: 2,5,7,7-tetraphenyl-7-boratabicyclo-[4.1.0]hepta-2,4-diene (1) is a blood-red, reactive solid formed from UV irradiation of (*p*-biphenylyl)triphenyl borate (2). Borate 2 (Me<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup> salt, <sup>11</sup>B NMR:  $\delta$  -6.76, BF<sub>3</sub>-OEt<sub>2</sub> = 0.00)

Borate 2 (Me<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup> salt, <sup>11</sup>B NMR:  $\delta$  -6.76, BF<sub>3</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub> = 0.00) is easily prepared by reaction of biphenylyllithium with triphenylborane.<sup>3</sup> Its absorption spectrum in acetonitrile solution shows a band at 272 nm ( $\epsilon_{max} = 22600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) characteristic of biphenyl derivatives. Irradiation of 2 in a degassed acetonitrile solution at 254 nm ( $1.2 \times 10^{-2}$  M, Rayonet Reactor, 0 °C, 2 h) leads to formation of a deeply red reaction mixture and appearance of new <sup>11</sup>B NMR resonances at  $\delta$  -26.6 and -27.2. Integration of the product peaks immediately after irradiation shows them to be present in a ratio of 1:1; after 2 h at 30 °C this ratio becomes 5:1. Additional heating causes no further change.

The major product from photolysis of 2 can be isolated in 30% yield by repeated fractional crystallization from CH<sub>3</sub>CN/ether. The air and water sensitive red solid has an <sup>11</sup>B NMR absorption at  $\delta$  -26.6. Its elemental analysis gives the formula C<sub>34</sub>H<sub>36</sub>BN,<sup>3</sup> the same as that of starting borate. The product's UV-vis spectrum in CH<sub>3</sub>CN shows two bands: 294 nm ( $\epsilon_{max} = 19000$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) and 510 nm ( $\epsilon_{max} = 2700$  M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>4</sup>

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the photoproduct are particularly revealing. Its 500 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum in THF- $d_8$ at room temperature is shown in Figure 1. The two singlets ( $\delta$ 1.39, 5.55), the triplets at  $\delta$  7.17 and 7.02, and the doublet at 7.69 are unaffected when the solution is cooled to -10 °C, but the triplets at  $\delta$  6.81 and 6.68 and the doublet at  $\delta$  7.10 begin to broaden. Further cooling results in additional broadening until at -80 °C the broadened peaks split into sharpened multiplets. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of the photoproduct shows detectable peaks for only nine carbons, two ( $\delta$  37.1 and 135.5) broadened

109, 2922.
(2) (a) Letsinger, R. L.; Skoog, I. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 5176.
(b) van Tamelen, E. E.; Brieger, G.; Untch, K. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1960, 14. (c) Jutzi, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1969, 19, P1. (d) Grisdale, P. J.; Williams, J. L. R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 22, C19. (e) Eisch, J. J.; Galle, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4436. (f) Sobieralaski, T. J.; Hancock, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7533. (g) Sobieralaski, T. J.; Hancock, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7533. (g) Sobieralaski, T. J.; Hancock, K. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7542. (h) Lee, D. Y.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 379. (j) Nakadaira, Y.; Sato, R.; Sakurai, H. Chem. Lett. 1987, 1451. (k) Ashe, A. J. III.; Drone, F. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1879. (l) Eisch, J. J.; Shafii, B.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2526. (m) Fagan, P. J.; Burns, E. G.; Calabrese, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2979.
(a) Anal. Coled for C. H. PN. C. 266 98. H. 7.73. Ni 208. B. 2020.

(3) Anal. Calcd for  $C_{34}H_{36}BN$ : C, 86.98; H, 7.73; N, 2.98; B, 2.30. Found (1) C, 86.50; H, 7.62; N, 3.10; B, 2.05. Found (2): C, 86.68; H, 7.75; N, 2.97; B, 2.07.

(4) The red color of 1 persists, apparently undiminished, even at liquid  $N_2$  temperature. This observation rules out the possibility that it is due to the presence of a minor isomer in equilibrium with 1.

<sup>(27)</sup> Several techniques were employed for these measurements. They include single photon counting with excitation at 590 or 360 nm, streak camera detection with excitation at 532 nm, and photomultipler detection with excitation at 532 nm. We thank Professor M. A. Fox, Dr. S. Atherton, Dr. R. V. Bensasson, Dr. M. Rougêe, Professor F. C. De Schryver, and Dr. M. Van der Auweraer for their help.

<sup>(1) (</sup>a) Dewar, M. J. S. Prog. Boron. Chem. 1964, 1, 235. (b) Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Schleyer, P. v. R.; Pople, J. A.; Cremer, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4301. (c) Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Cremer, D.; Dill, J. D.; Pople, J. A.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2589. (d) Disch, R. L.; Sabio, M. L.; Schulman, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1863. (e) Frenking, G.; Schaefer, H. F. III. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1984, 109, 521. (f) Pople, J. A.; Schleyer, P. v. R. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1986, 129, 279. (g) Drewello, T.; Koch, W.; Lebrilla, C. B.; Stahl, D.; Schwarz, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2922.